

## **INTRODUCING COX YEATS MARITIME LAW TEAM**

A ndrew Clark is a Partner and head of the Maritime Law Team at Cox Yeats Attorneys. His specialist practice areas are maritime law, international trade and insurance, and business law. Andrew is a member, and a previous executive committee member, of the South African Maritime Law Association as well as the Durban Marine Insurance Association and has been an attorney for 20 years practising in this field of law.

Michael Posemann is a senior partner of the Maritime Team who has been involved in the field of maritime and international trade law since 1976. He is a member of the South African Maritime Law Association and was previously a president of the Association; an associate member of the London Maritime Arbitrator's Association and a member of the Institute of Chartered Shipbrokers. Michael was recently honoured by the South African Maritime Law Association for his contribution to maritime law.

The firm has developed an excellent reputation in this field of law and draws on a large base of local and international clients. Cox Yeats Maritime Team is also one of the few specialist teams with expertise in shipping law, international trade and logistics, and insurance law. The team has extensive experience in maritime law, both in representing cargo interests and their underwriters, and in representing shipowners/charterers and their Protection and Indemnity insurance (P&I) Clubs.

Some key focus areas include

advising on:

- Maritime claims relating to the carriage of cargo by sea, air and land
- And drafting international sale and carriage agreements
- Port related matters including customs and excise, major port development projects and ship repair services.

Their expertise extends to both marine insurance claims arising out of the carriage of goods and general insurance claims, both in respect of loss of or damage to cargo and defending liability claims.

They also deal with disputes arising out of contracts of carriage, marine and non-marine



Tamryn Simpson

insurance, international trade law and the drafting of commercial agreements, including terminal service and storage and handling agreements for facilities within South Africa's ports.

The maritime professionals actively promote and balance individualism with team work and joint effort bringing about expedient and effective solutions for their clients. In keeping with the firm's mission and ethos, Clark says, "We strive to understand our clients' operations and the detail of the legal landscape in which they navigate. We view client relationships as long term commitments and we therefore invest time in fully understanding our clients' businesses and their legal requirements. Our preferred approach is to build relationships and rapport, which allows clients



to be confident with our legal grounding and ability to offer pragmatic solutions. We recognise that our clients seek practical solutions to their problems, which can be varied and complex. We are therefore extremely focussed on providing effective outcomes for clients."

The Maritime Law Team members are: Andrew Clark, Partner; Michael Posemann, Partner; Tamryn Simpson, Partner; Laura Maitre, Associate; Phiwe Ngcobo, Candidate Attorney.

To speak to one of the Cox Yeats Maritime Team, please contact: T: 031 536 8500 Email: aclark@coxyeats.co.za

COX YEATS



Andrew Clark

Michael Posemann

## **IS SOUTH AFRICA PREPARED TO DEAL WITH OIL SPILLS?**

Tamryn Simpson and Andrew Clark -Cox Yeats Attorneys

n average, South Africa's coast line is occupied by an estimated 12 000 vessels per annum, either passing through or visiting one of its many ports. Of these vessels, many are tankers collectively carrying an estimated 30 million tons of crude oil, the rest, up to 126 000 metric tons of fuel.

To understand the risk to our coastline annually, one needs to consider the monetary value associated with an oil spill of minor proportions and the propensity for this to escalate with the advent of the ultra-large crude carriers (ULCCs). Four such minor oil spills were right here in South Africa's back garden of came from one source – the South African taxpayer as the owner had abandoned the vessel.

Maritime casualties have several legal, commercial, political and social layers to them and many parties involved in the decisions to be made regarding pollution prevention, possible salvage and eventual wreck removal. The decision on how to best manage a maritime casualty inevitably takes time. In the case of the MV "Seli 1" it took four years.

With the passing of time, comes an increase in the risks to environmental safety, and consequently, the risk of an increase in costs of recovery and rehabilitation.

For now, South Africa has sailed

Representative in Maritime Salvage and Intervention)

2. Increase in limits of recovery in terms of the 1992 International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage (the "1992" CLC) and the International Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage, 1992 (known more commonly as the Fund Convention).

South Africa's present oil pollution prevention and liability legislation is housed in the Marine Pollution (Control and Civil Liability) Act and the Marine Pollution (Intervention) Act. An owner's liability for oil pollution is limited to 133 SDRs (special drawing rights) per ton (measured by a ship's tonnage) or 14 million SDRs, whichever is the lesser. In monetary terms this equates to a maximum of approximately R240 million.

1992 Fund Convention. The 1992 CLC raises the ceiling of limitation of a ship owner's liability and the 1992 Fund Convention acts as a top up where the 1992 CLC falls short or a ship owner is not able to meet its CLC liabilities. Both owners' P&I clubs and the Fund, administered in terms of these Conventions, will only pay out in circumstances where a ship owner is found to be legally liable in the jurisdiction in which a claim for oil pollution arises. South African legislation has not yet been amended or promulgated to incorporate the 1992 Conventions into domestic law and the limits of liability stand as set out above.

Laura Maitre

One of the major limits to these Conventions is that they do not cover oil pollution due to the spillage or leaking of bunkers. The South African Marine Pollution Acts, are akin to those powers that would be given to a South African SOSREP. The difference is that a SOSREP would proceed without extensive consultation, bureaucracy and financial constraints.

Phiwe Ngcobo

The idea of a SOSREP is to appoint a single co-ordinator for salvage operations able to make preventative decisions, which would decrease the cost of an environmental catastrophe, through quicker response times and decisive action.

In many respects, South Africa is falling behind in achieving international norms to safeguard against oil pollution and to have reserves in place besides relying on ship owners and their P&I clubs. The South African Maritime Law Association is alive to these issues and is, through a sub-committee, making representations to the National Department of Transport, in an effort to modernise and improve South Africa's position.

Bloubergstrand, Cape Town, emanating from the MV "Seli 1".

Having already removed most of the 660 metric tons of bunker fuel from the vessel, the last oil spill reported from the vessel in 2012 stretched one kilometre across the Tableview bay area. The estimated expenditure by the South African authorities in oil pollution clean-up and eventual removal of the wreck amounted to R40 million, the total sum of which through the last century relatively unscathed, having avoided, or been lucky enough, not to experience environmental disasters of the magnitude of the MT "Exxon Valdez" and the MT "Prestige".

Two aspects to a maritime casualty have been debated in South Africa, the:

1. Appointment of a South African SOSREP (Secretary of States

To put this in perspective, The MT "Exxon Valdez" oil spill cost, at the time, approximately USD 3.5 billion in clean up, rehabilitation and penalties.

South Africa has in recent years acceded to both the 1992 CLC and

IMO has developed a new Convention dealing with this issue to which South Africa has not yet acceded.

Currently, extensive intervention powers are provided to the South African Maritime Authority (SAMSA) to guard against pollution of the sea by harmful substances that are likely to be discharged from a ship or a tanker. SAMSA's role, and perhaps limited to those powers in the

For more information please contact Tamryn Simpson on: T: 031 536 8500 Email: tsimpson@coxyeats.co.za www.coxyeats.co.za